

Instant Insight: Post-Fed Commentary

Holding the Line: The Fed Waits for Clarity on Inflation, Oil Prices, and Economic Resilience

Perspectives on the Federal Open Market Committee Meeting

July 28-29, 2026

Following each Federal Reserve policy meeting, this document captures the immediate perspectives of Oppenheimer Asset Management thought leaders. These insights are designed to provide a timely, high-level interpretation of the Fed's decisions, tone, and implications for the markets. The goal is to equip you with expert-driven, viewpoints in the wake of each FOMC announcement.

Fixed Income Perspective

Heading into the meeting, policy uncertainty reached levels rarely seen in recent FOMC cycles. Ultimately, the Federal Reserve (Fed) left the federal funds target range unchanged at 3.50% to 3.75%, but several factors made the outcome unusually difficult for markets to handicap:

- Chairman Warsh is new, leaving investors with little historical experience to interpret his policy reaction function.
- Chair Warsh has questioned the usefulness of extensive forward guidance, preferring markets to focus on incoming economic data.
- He has also encouraged competing viewpoints within the Committee, an approach well described in Nick Timiraos' July 27 *Wall Street Journal* article, "Kevin Warsh Wanted a 'Good Family Fight' at the Fed. He's Getting One." Here is the excerpt:

THE WALL STREET JOURNAL
U.S. Politics Economy Tech Markets & Finance Opinion Free Expression Arts LI

ECONOMY • CENTRAL BANKING

Kevin Warsh Wanted a 'Good Family Fight' at the Fed. He's Getting One.

The new chairman initiated a debate over how the central bank works, and it could test his tight-lipped approach on the economy

By Nick Timiraos
July 27, 2026 9:00 pm ET

On the evening of June 15, before the first day of his first policy meeting as Federal Reserve chairman, Kevin Warsh sat down to dinner with the 18 officials who set interest rates alongside him. Gathered around a rectangular table, he announced his plan: five panels of outside experts would spend the next few months examining how the Fed reads the economy and explains itself.

Governor Christopher Waller, a former economics professor with a reputation for saying what others won't, put Warsh on the spot, according to several people familiar with the dinner. What's the point of all this, he asked? Tell me who you're putting on these groups, he said, and I'll tell you what they'll say. There were no brilliant ideas out there that everyone had somehow missed.

The dinner was an early sign of the central tension of Warsh's chairmanship. He is determined to remake the Fed and convinced it can't afford to wait.

The combination left investors with considerably less visibility into the Committee's likely decision than they have become accustomed to in recent years.

Markets responded by assigning meaningful odds to a rate hike as geopolitical tensions in the Middle East intensified, unemployment continued to move lower on weaker labor force participation, and investor consensus increasingly shifted toward the view that the early build-out of AI infrastructure is likely to be inflationary before its longer-term productivity benefits become disinflationary.

At one point, Fed funds futures implied almost a 40% probability of a rate hike. History, however, suggested otherwise. Since Fed funds futures data began in 1994, the Federal Reserve has never delivered a rate hike when futures implied less than a 60% probability, making such an outcome statistically unprecedented. (Bank of America, Bloomberg)

The “good family fight” envisioned by Chair Warsh ultimately materialized through three dissenting votes:

- Beth Hammack, President of the FRB of Cleveland
- Lorie Logan, President of the FRB of Dallas
- Neel Kashkari, President of the FRB of Minneapolis

In our view, the outcome shifts the policy debate to the September meeting, where investors should have greater clarity on inflation, oil prices, geopolitical developments, labor market trends, and whether recent inflation pressures prove temporary or more persistent.

Our outlook remains unchanged. We continue to expect elevated interest-rate volatility, but believe monthly Core Personal Consumption Expenditures Price Index inflation readings will need to move outside the recent 15-25 basis point month-over-month range to materially shift benchmark interest rates. Until then, we expect rates to remain on hold as long as labor market conditions remain broadly intact.

Research Insight

The impact of the new Fed communication strategy of reduced policy guidance was evident in the lead up to the Fed's official decision. The Chicago Mercantile Exchange's FedWatch Tool indicated fixed income markets were pricing an approximately one-third chance of a hike in the morning of the decision. Recently, the Fed's decision has been 90%+ priced into markets days, and even weeks, in advance.

Labor Market – Level: Tight | Trend: Constricting

While job openings remain robust and the unemployment rate fell to the tight level of 4.2%, the labor force participation rate fell to a 50-year low. The prime age labor force participation rate (25-54 years old) dropped in June, as well.

Inflation – Level: Elevated | Trend: Rebounding

The Iran conflict's June reprieve proved to be temporary. Energy prices have rebounded as hostilities escalated in July. Lowering inflation remains the top priority for the Fed as consumer prices outpaced wage gains during the second quarter.

Chairman Warsh's short tenure and reduced committee guidance has already impacted financial markets as a wider range of policy outcomes are being priced into markets. The effect has been higher and more economically restrictive interest rates than if the Fed's decision to hold rates steady were telegraphed and fully priced in advance of the official announcement.

Chief Investment Strategist Viewpoint

For yet another FOMC meeting the Fed's decision to hold policy rates steady came as little surprise to us given the surge in the price of oil linked to a recent re-engagement of hostilities by Iran and its allies versus the United States and its allies in the Middle East. Issues surrounding the Strait of Hormuz and the Red Sea remain far from resolution at this time.

That said, the reengagement of a negotiation process in the last few days before the FOMC meeting as Iran reached out to mediators to find a way to return to discussions to resolve the conflict saw a nearly immediate drop in both major oil benchmarks: Brent crude, the international standard, and West Texas Intermediate (WTI), the U.S. benchmark.

The message to both sides as expressed by the oil and other markets in our view is “Give Peace a Chance” in order to regain price stability in the commodity. That said, we do not expect resolution to the conflict between Iran and the U.S. and neighboring states in the Middle East to end overnight.

Geopolitics and the price of oil along with sticky domestic inflation in the U.S. that remains despite a recent decline in the inflation growth rate gives reason for the Fed to remain on pause for longer rather than undertake a hike in rates or even take into consideration a cut in rates.

With inflation that remains above the Fed's 2% target the U.S. remains vulnerable to volatile oil prices. The persistence of inflation that remains in the system alongside continued resilience in economic activity and employment in the U.S.— along with recent data that shows the U.S. economy in good stead — and likely to avoid a downturn — suggests to us that the Fed's decision to remain data dependent and on pause for now is a prudent decision.

The views expressed herein reflect current opinions and are not guarantees of future results. Forward looking statements are based on current assumptions and subject to change without notice.

Authors



Ozan Volkan
Senior Portfolio Manager,
Oppenheimer Investment Advisers



Michael Richman, CFA
Senior Portfolio Manager,
Oppenheimer Investment Advisers



Leo Dierckman
Senior Portfolio Manager,
Oppenheimer Investment Advisers



Christopher Barsky, CFA
Head of OAM Research &
Investment Management



John Stoltzfus
Chief Investment Strategist



Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. (Oppenheimer), a registered broker/dealer and investment adviser, is a indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Oppenheimer Holdings Inc. Securities are offered through Oppenheimer. If you select one or more of the advisory services offered by Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. (Oppenheimer) or its affiliate Oppenheimer Asset Management Inc. (OAM), the respective entity will be acting in an advisory capacity. Financial planning services are provided by Oppenheimer. If you ask us to effect securities transactions for you, Oppenheimer will be acting as a broker-dealer. Please see the Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. website, www.opco.com or call the branch manager of the office that services your account, for further information regarding the difference between brokerage and advisory products and services. Trust services are provided by Oppenheimer Trust Company of Delaware, an affiliate of Oppenheimer. Diversified strategy investments such as natural resources, commodities or futures, real estate investment trusts (REITs), are made available by Oppenheimer only to qualified investors and involve varying degrees of risk. All information provided and opinions expressed are subject to change without notice. Neither Oppenheimer, Oppenheimer Trust Company of Delaware nor OAM provide legal or tax advice. However, your Oppenheimer Financial Professional will work with clients, their attorneys and their tax professionals to help ensure all of their needs are met and properly executed. Investing in securities involves risk and may result in loss of principal. There is no assurance any recommended strategy will be successful. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

© 2026 Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. Transacts Business on All Principal Exchanges and Member SIPC. All rights reserved. No part of this brochure may be reproduced in any manner without the written permission of Oppenheimer. 9049426.1